Essy Mozaffari<sup>1</sup>, Aastha Chandak<sup>2</sup>, Robert L Gottlieb<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>, Chidinma Chima-Melton<sup>7</sup>, Mark Berry<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Oppelt<sup>1</sup>, Jason F Okulicz<sup>1</sup>, Alpesh N Amin<sup>8</sup>, Andre C Kalil<sup>9</sup>, Paul E Sax<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Gilead Sciences, Foster City, California, USA, <sup>2</sup>Certara, New York, New York, New York, USA, <sup>3</sup>Baylor University Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA, <sup>5</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>6</sup>Baylor Scott & White Research Institute, Dallas, Texas, USA, <sup>1</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart and Vascular Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>6</sup>Baylor Scott & White Research Institute, Dallas, Texas, USA, <sup>1</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>1</sup>Baylor Scott & White Research Institute, Dallas, Texas, USA, <sup>1</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>1</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>2</sup>Baylor Scott & White Research Institute, Dallas, Texas, USA, <sup>3</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>3</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>3</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plan <sup>7</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA, <sup>8</sup>University of California, Irvine, California, USA, <sup>9</sup>University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska, USA, <sup>10</sup>Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA,

## Conclusions

- In this study, about half of the patients not requiring supplemental oxygen at baseline, received CORT in the first 2 days of hospitalization despite guideline recommendation against the use of CORT for these patients<sup>7-10</sup>
- Of the 43,618 patients that received CORT monotherapy at hospital admission:
  - Majority of the patients did not require any supplemental oxygen at baseline (45%, n=19,592) also did not require supplemental oxygen therapy throughout the hospitalization but continued receiving CORT after the first two days of hospitalization
- The findings from this study demonstrated that the use of RDV in patients receiving corticosteroids was associated with a reduction in risk of mortality as compared to corticosteroid monotherapy for the treatment of patients hospitalized for COVID-19 across all levels of baseline supplemental oxygen requirements.
- The study highlights the important role of antiviral therapy with remdesivir in improving clinical outcomes in patients hospitalized for COVID-19, supporting guideline recommendations
- Our findings also confirm (per COVID-19 treatment guidelines) that corticosteroid monotherapy should not be used in COVID-19 patients who do not require supplemental oxygen, unless required for a distinct medical indication (e.g. background immunomodulation for a chronic condition).

# Background

- Despite gradual declines in COVID-19 incidence and mortality, the World Health Organization (WHO) acknowledged that COVID-19 is a continuing threat to lives and health systems in 2024<sup>1</sup>
- The RECOVERY trial conducted in earlier stages of COVID-19 pandemic showed no difference in mortality rates for usual care vs. dexamethasone among patients not requiring supplemental oxygen with a potential paradoxical signal for harm in non-hypoxemic COVID-19 patients despite clear benefit for COVID-19 with hypoxemia<sup>2</sup>
- Studies conducted since the RECOVERY study have shown the potential for a detrimental effect of corticosteroid treatment in patients with mild-to-moderate (non-hypoxemic) COVID-19<sup>3,4</sup>
- COVID-19 treatment guidelines from National Institutes of Health (NIH) (last updated in February 2024 and retired in August 2024), WHO (last updated in November 2023), and Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) (last updated in August 2024):
- Recommended against the use of dexamethasone for COVID-19 patients who do not require supplemental oxygen<sup>5-7</sup>
- Recommendations for treatment of patients hospitalized for hypoxemic COVID-19 with both remdesivir (RDV) and a corticosteroid such as dexamethasone (DEX). Other immunomodulators may also be considered in addition to, but not in substitution for, corticosteroids.
- Other corticosteroids (CORT) such as prednisone, prednisolone, methylprednisolone, and hydrocortisone can be used in place of dexamethasone or for other underlying conditions.
- The objective of this study was to examine all-cause mortality in hospitalised COVID-19 patients initiating RDV+CORT vs. CORT monotherapy in the more recent COVID-19 era.

# Methods

### **Study Design**

- Comparative Effectiveness Retrospective cohort study (Table 1)
- Data source: PINC Al Healthcare Database (formerly Premier Healthcare Database)
  - US hospital-based, service-level, all-payer (Commercial, Medicare, Medicaid, others) database
  - Covers ~25% of all US hospitalizations from 48 states
  - Includes patient-level information on billed services for each day of hospitalization

#### Table 1. Study design

- ✓ First admission to the hospital December 1, 2021-April 30, 2023 ✓ Age ≥18 years old
- Primary discharge diagnosis of COVID-19 (ICD-10-CM: U07.1) Inclusion criteria and flagged as being "present-on-admission"
  - Initiated either RDV+ CORT or CORT monotherapy in the first two days of hospitalization
  - × Pregnant
  - Had incomplete/erroneous data fields
  - **X** Transferred from another hospital or hospice
- **Exclusion** × Admitted for elective procedures

criteria

- Discharged or died during the baseline period (first two days of hospitalization)
- X Initiation of other COVID-19 treatments (baricitinib or tocilizumab or oral antivirals) at baseline

#### **CORT** mono RDV + CORT RDV + CORT initiated in first 2 CORT monotherapy initiated in first **Treatment** days of admission (baseline) 2 days of admission (Baseline)

- Baseline is defined as the first two days of hospitalization
- **Primary Endpoints:** 14-day and 28-day all-cause inpatient mortality (defined as a discharge status of "expired" or "hospice")
- Endpoints were examined according to baseline supplemental oxygen requirements: No supplemental oxygen charges (NSOc), low-flow oxygen (LFO), high-flow oxygen/non-invasive ventilation (HFO/NIV), and invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV)/ECMO
- Patients were followed from the day after treatment initiation until day 28 or discharge status of expired or hospice, transfer to another hospital, or addition of RDV after the first 2 days of hospitalization for the CORT monotherapy cohort, whichever was earlier.
- If RDV was initiated in the CORT monotherapy group after the first two days of hospitalization, patients were only followed until the day RDV was added to the CORT monotherapy group following a per protocol treatment approach (censored upon cross-over).

### Statistical analysis

- All analyses were conducted for the overall study cohort hospitalized during the Omicron period (December 2021 to April 2023) and stratified by baseline supplemental oxygen requirements (no supplemental oxygen charges [NSOc], low-flow oxygen [LFO], high-flow oxygen or non-invasive ventilation [HFO/NIV] and invasive mechanical ventilation and/or extracorporeal membranous oxygenation support [IMV/ECMO]).
- Propensity scores (PS) were estimated using separate logistic regression models for each baseline supplemental oxygenation requirement group separately

- Covariates used in PS calculation: demographics (age, gender) race, ethnicity), primary payor (commercial, Medicare, Medicaid, other), comorbidities (obesity, diabetes, cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder cardiovascular [including hypertension], or renal disease), hospital characteristics (bed size, urban or rural, teaching, US region), type of hospital ward on admission (general ward or intensive care unit [ICU]), COVID-19 treatments during baseline (anticoagulants, convalescent plasma, admission month, and admission from a skilled nursing facility (Table 2).
- Using the derived PS, distribution of underlying confounders in the two treatment groups was balanced using propensity score matching (PSM) as the primary analysis using a 1:1 preferential within-hospital matching approach without replacement with a caliper distance of 0.2 times standard deviation of the logit of the PS was implemented as
  - o Patients receiving RDV+CORT were matched to corticosteroids monotherapy patients in the same hospital within the specified caliper distance in the same age group (18-49, 50-64, 65+ years), and admission month groups (two-to-three-month blocks of admission month)
  - The unmatched patients in the RDV+CORT group were then matched to CORT monotherapy patients in another RDV-using hospital of similar bed-size (<200, 200-499, 500+ beds) within the specified caliper distance in same age group (18-49, 50-64, 65+ years), and admission month groups (two-to-three-month blocks of admission month).
- Cox Proportional Hazards Model was used to assess time to 14- and 28-day mortality adjusting for hospital-level cluster effects, and key covariates: age, admission month, hospital admission ward (documented location for ICU/ Step-down unit vs. general ward), and time-varying covariates for treatments initiated after baseline (baricitinib, tocilizumab, or oral antivirals).
- A sensitivity analysis was conducted to examine initiation of RDV+DEX vs. DEX monotherapy

## Results

### Study population

- 151,215 patients hospitalized for COVID-19:
  - o 67,580 (45%) initiated RDV+CORT in the first 2 hospital days
- 43,618 (29%) initiated CORT monotherapy (24% DEX monotherapy; 5% non-DEX corticosteroid monotherapy) in the first 2 hospital days
- Before matching (Table 2):
  - The plurarity of patients in the RDV+CORT and CORT monotherapy cohort, respectively, did not receive supplemental oxygen at baseline (44%, 45%), the rest received LFO (36%, 35%), HFO/NIV (18%, 16%), and IMV/ECMO (2%, 4%)
- After 1:1 matching without replacement, 39,104 RDV + CORT patients were matched to 39,104 CORT monotherapy patients (in matching without replacement, matching % is dependent on available patients in the treatment group with smaller sample size) (**Table 2**):
- Post-matching balance was achieved across groups of baseline supplemental oxygen with all covariates with a standardized difference absolute value of <0.15
- Almost half of the patients did not receive supplemental oxygen at IMV/ECMO (2%)

#### Table 2. Baseline characteristics before and after PS matching

		Before matching		After matching	
Baseline characteristics		CORT	RDV+	CORT	RDV+
		Mono	CORT	Mono	CORT
		n=43,618	n=67,580	n=39,104	n=39,104
	18-49	8.5%	10.0%	7.8%	7.8%
Age group, years	50-64	21.6%	22.8%	21.0%	21.0%
	65+	69.8%	67.2%	71.2%	71.2%
Gender	Female	51.4%	51.4%	51.4%	51.8%
	White	76.3%	77.1%	77.4%	77.5%
Paga	Black	15.0%	13.1%	13.9%	13.9%
Race	Asian	1.6%	2.0%	1.6%	1.6%
	Other	7.0%	7.8%	7.1%	7.0%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	8.7%	11.0%	8.9%	8.5%
	Commercial	14.0%	16.6%	14.2%	14.0%
Drimary naver	Medicare	72.2%	68.8%	72.6%	72.5%
Primary payor	Medicaid	8.5%	9.4%	8.0%	8.1%
	Other	5.3%	5.2%	5.2%	5.3%
	<100	8.5%	8.2%	8.6%	8.4%
	100-199	15.8%	17.0%	16.1%	16.4%
Hospital size, no. of	200-299	20.9%	20.3%	21.1%	20.8%
peds	300-399	20.3%	17.5%	19.6%	19.7%
	400-499	11.1%	9.9%	10.9%	11.2%
	500+	23.3%	27.2%	23.6%	23.6%
1	Urban	85.6%	87.2%	85.9%	86.2%
Hospital location	Rural	14.4%	12.8%	14.1%	13.8%
Teaching Hospital		39.9%	42.0%	39.9%	39.5%
	Obesity	29.3%	30.4%	29.1%	29.0%
	COPD	39.9%	40.0%	40.0%	40.1%
	Cardiovascular disease	88.4%	85.3%	87.9%	87.8%
V	Diabetes mellitus	41.1%	38.3%	40.1%	40.0%
Key comorbidities	Renal disease	35.3%	23.7%	31.4%	31.2%
	Cancer	7.2%	7.2%	7.3%	7.2%
	Immunocompromising			18.0%	18.1%
	condition <sup>1</sup>	18.3%	17.5%		
Hospital ward upon		82.9%	82.6%	83.9%	84.5%
admission .	ICU/Step-down unit	17.1%	17.4%	16.1%	15.5%
Other treatments at		74.2%	80.5%	77.1%	77.1%
paseline	Convalescent plasma	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
	NSOc	44.9%	43.6%	45.6%	45.6%
Baseline oxygen	LFO	34.9%	36.3%	35.7%	35.7%
requirements	HFO/NIV	16.3%	18.0%	16.4%	16.4%
. cquii cinicilits	IMV/ECMO	3.9%	2.2%	2.4%	2.4%

### Unadjusted analysis (PS-matched cohort)

Unadjusted mortality rates at 14- and 28-days were lower for patients receiving RDV+CORT vs. CORT monotherapy across all baseline supplemental oxygen requirements

	14-day mortality		28-day mortality		
	CORT Mono	RDV+CORT	CORT Mono	RDV+CORT	
Overall	8.4%	7.2%	10.7%	9.5%	
NSOc	5.7%	5.3%	7.1%	6.7%	
.FO	7.6%	5.9%	9.6%	7.8%	
HFO/NIV	15.2%	13.2%	19.9%	17.5%	
MV/ECMO	28.1%	24.0%	35.0%	32.4%	

mmunocompromised conditions: cancer, transplant, hematologic malignancies, immunosuppressive ICU, Intensive Care Unit; COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disorder; NSOc, No supplementary oxygen charges; LFO, Low-Flow Oxygen; HFO/NIV, High-Flow Oxygen/Non-invasive ventilation; IMV/ECMO, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation/ Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation; RDV, remdesivir; CORT, corticosteroids

### Adjusted analysis (PS-matched cohort)

Using 1:1 propensity score matching without replacement;

RDV+DEX vs. DEX mono

- After adjusting for baseline and clinical covariates, RDV+CORT was associated with a significantly lower mortality risk at 14-days and 28-days compared to CORT monotherapy overall and across all supplemental oxygen requirements (Figure 1).
- Sensitivity analysis of RDV+DEX vs. DEX monotherapy showed consistent results:
  - After adjusting for baseline and clinical covariates, RDV+CORT was associated with a significantly lower mortality risk at 14-days and 28-days compared to CORT monotherapy overall and across all supplemental oxygen requirements (Figure 1).

P value

<.0001

0.0182

Figure 1. Time to 14- and 28-day mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients by supplemental oxygen requirements (adjusted Cox Proportional Hazards model)

After matching

### **RDV+Corticosteroids vs. Corticosteroids mono**

	N	aHR [95% CI] P value	N	aHR [95% CI]
14-day mortality	1		14-day mortality	
Overall	78,208 ⊷-	0.75 [0.71 - 0.79] <.0001	Overall 66,074 ⊢	0.74 [0.69 - 0.78]
NSOc	35,642 ⊷⊶	0.80 [0.74 - 0.87] <.0001	NSOc 29,508 <b>⊢</b>	0.79 [0.72 - 0.87]
LFO	27,928 🛶	0.69 [0.63 - 0.75] <.0001	LFO 24,412 ⊢ <b>→</b>	0.70 [0.64 - 0.77]
HFO/NIV	12,794 —	0.74 [0.67 - 0.82] <.0001	HFO/NIV 10,656 →	0.69 [0.62 - 0.76]
IMV/ECMO	1,844	0.76 [0.64 - 0.91] 0.0021	IMV/ECMO 1,498	0.78 [0.64 - 0.94]
28-day mortality	,		28-day mortality	
Overall	78,208 ⊷	0.76 [0.72 - 0.80] <.0001	Overall 66,074 →	0.76 [0.72 - 0.81]
NSOc	35,642	0.81 [0.75 - 0.87] <.0001	NSOc 29,508	0.80 [0.74 - 0.88]
LFO	27,928 ⊷⊶	0.71 [0.66 - 0.77] <.0001	LFO 24,412 <b>→</b>	0.74 [0.68 - 0.81]
HFO/NIV	12,794 ⊷	0.74 [0.68 - 0.81] <.0001	HFO/NIV 10,656 →	0.71 [0.65 - 0.78]
IMV/ECMO	1,844	0.81 [0.70 - 0.94] 0.0058	IMV/ECMO 1,498	0.81 [0.69 - 0.97]
	0.60 0.80 1.0	00 1.20	0.60 0.80 1	.00 1.20
Favors	s RDV+Corticosteroids	Favors Corticosteroids mono	Favors RDV+DEX	Favors DEX mono

baseline (46%), the rest received LFO (36%), HFO/NIV (16%), and Note: Estimates adjusted for age, admission month, hospital ward on admission (ICU vs general ward), and time-varying treatment with other COVID-19 medications (baricitinib, tocilizumab, oral antivirals) Abbreviations: aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; CORT, Corticosteroids, DEX, dexamethasone; mono, monotherapy; HFO/NIV, high flow oxygen/non-invasive ventilation; IMV/ECMO, invasive mechanical ventilation/extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; mono, monotherapy; LFO, low flow oxygen; mono, monotherapy; NSOc, no supplemental oxygen charges; RDV, remdesivir.

6. IDSA. IDSA guidelines on the treatment and management of patients with covid-19. Updated 26 June 2023. Available at: https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/covid-19-guideline-treatment-and-management/ Accessed 21 December 2023. 7. NIH. Therapeutic Management of Hospitalized Adults With COVID-19. Updated February 29, 2024. Available: https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/management/clinical-management-of-adults/hospitalized-adults-therapeutic-management/. Accessed April 1, 2024. 8. Benfield T, Bodilsen J, Brieghel C, et al. Clin Infect Dis.

2021;73:2031-2036. doi:10.1093/cid/ciab536. 9. Marrone A, Nevola R, Sellitto A, et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2022;75:e403-e409.

Acknowledgments: This study was funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc. Editing and production assistance were provided by Certara, and funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc.

Disclosures: EM, MB, TO and JFO are employees and stockholders of Gilead Sciences, Inc. AC received funding and medical writing support from Gilead Sciences, Inc. for conduct of this study. RLG reports serving on scientific advisory boards for AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Gilead Sciences, Inc., GSK, Roche, Johnson & Johnson (coronavirus disease 2019 [COVID-19]-related randomized clinical trial, coordinating principal investigator), and Kinevant Sciences (academic steering committee, study investigator; fees to Baylor Scott & White Research Institute)

serving as a consultant for Gilead Sciences, Inc. (honoraria for lectures), Johnson & Johnson, and Kinevant Sciences (through his institution); serving on a speaker bureau for Pfizer unrelated to COVID-19; his institution receiving a giftin-kind from Gilead Sciences, Inc. to facilitate a multicenter clinical trial outside the scope of COVID-19; a de minimis investment in AbCellera; grants or contracts as a study investigator (fees to Baylor Scott & White Research Institute) from Regeneron, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Pfizer, JNJ, AstraZeneca, and Roivant Sciences (Kinevant Sciences); and receipt of travel support for original scientific presentations from Gilead Sciences, Inc. CCM reports payment or honoraria for lectures/speaker from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, aTyr Pharma; consulting fees from AstraZeneca; and participation on advisory board for Gilead Sciences, Inc.

ANA reports being a principal investigator or co-investigator of clinical trials sponsored by NIH/NIAID, NeuroRx Pharma, Pulmotect, Blade Therapeutics, Novartis, Takeda, Humanigen, Eli Lilly, PTC Therapeutics, OctaPharma, Fulcrum Therapeutics, Alexion; Speaker and/or consultant for Pfizer, Salix, Alexion, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Ferring, Seres, Spero, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, Gilead, Renibus, GSK, Dexcom, Reprieve, HeartRite, and Aseptiscope, unrelated to this study. PES reports research grants from Gilead and ViiV for HIV clinical trials and consulting fees from Gilead Sciences, Inc., Merck, and ViiV. ACK reports grants from the National Institutes of Health Adaptive COVID-19 Treatment Trial.

1.WHO. Covid-19: WHO health emergency appeal 2024. Available at: https://www.who.int/publicatio